P894219 1997 B21902760014 I DON'S COORTS: INVIN IN LASELING

Made in USA' diluted

OUR VIEW The FCC, deserting consumers, plans to make 'Made in the USA' label an illusion. A cave-in to pressure.

When does "Made in the USA" not mean what it says?

When makers of athletic shoes, hand **tools** and other products use the label to cloak imported content. And that's about to happen on a broad scale.

The Federal Trade **Commission**, pressured by manufacturers and retailers, wants to relax standards for using the label.

Under guidelines announced this week, 25% of a bicycle or lawn mower, for example, could be manufactured elsewhere and still carry "Made in the USA" if the final assembly occurred within U.S. borders.

If subassembly occurs in the U.S. as **well**, **all** components can be foreign,

So much for truth in advertising.

Obviously, in an increasingly interdependent world, the raw materials for many products originate elsewhere.' But for 50 years the minimum standard for asserting U.S. origin was that 98% of the manufacturing costs had been incurred here.

Products with greater percentages of forzign components still can proclaim national loyalty with other labels. "Made in USA of U.S. and imported parts," for instance, or "U.S. content: 60%." But that kind of honesty with consumers isn't **good** enough for some. When two major makers of athletic **shoes**, New **Balance** and Hyde **Athletic**, were accused in 1994 of using "Made in the USA" **labels** on shoes with Chinese **soles**, they launched a **political** counterattack They recruited members of Congress to help define down the standard Other businesses joined **in**, many arguing that a mere 5096 U.S. content was enough to **call** a product U.S.-made.

New Balance and others say that by using imported parts, they are able to keep final-assembly jobs in the United States. Unions reply that eroding the made-in-the-USA definition permits more jobs to move offshore. Either way, protecting U.S. jobs is no business of the FTC; protecting U.S. consumers from spurious claims is.

The FTC's director of consumer protection calls fudging the U.S.-made definition a reflection of the reality of a globalized economy. But the real reflection of a globalized economy would be honest labeling that shows the diverse roots of today's consumer products, not a politically driven rewrite of the dictionary.

Public comment on the FTC's proposal will be accepted until Aug. 11, after which it can be implemented. To comment, write: Ma&in the USA Policy Comment, Office of the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room 159, Sixth and Pennsylvania Ave. N. W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

May 9, 1997 Dear Surs:

Ces a takeying citizen, I shject to this change as a scheme to defraud consumers by officialing Country of origin to effect a price increase. Most Consumers will pay higher prices for "Made in USA" lake expecting a level of sciality not received in focusp made products.

This appears to be another reason for citizens to mist rust bureaucrats and the government that constantly dilutes enforcement of regulations show the benefit of muchinational corporations and beeign Countries to the detrement of its citizens.